

Validation of R.E.N.A.L Nephrometry Scoring System in Planing Surgical Intervention in Patients with Localized Renal Mass

A.M.Elgamal, H.M.Farouk, M.A.Hassanin and I.S.Nouh
Urology Dept., Faculty of Medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt
E-Mail:nouh11@gmail.com

Abstract

Preoperative anatomical scoring system is helpful to comparison between management options and assessment of postoperative consequences in patients with small renal cancers. This study aimed to assess the value of the R.E.N.A.L nephrometry score (RNS) in foreseeing perioperative results in patients with renal tumor. thirty three patients who had restricted renal tumor overseen by open medical procedure between February 2018 to February 2020. Patients were isolated into three gatherings as indicated by their RNS (low, moderate, and high). Clinical attributes with perioperative factors, intricacies, and RNS were contrasted with evaluate the contrasts between the three gatherings. Multivariable calculated relapse investigation was utilized to break down the hazard variables of postoperative confusions. As per the RNS, there were 18, 10, and 5 patients in the low, moderate, and high RNS gatherings, separately. There were critical contrasts in evaluated blood misfortune, warm ischemia time, activity time, perioperative creatinine leeway change , and number of patients with intricacies among the three gatherings. The qualities for EBL, WIT, OT, PCCC, and NPC for patients in the high RNS bunch were higher than those for patients in the low RNS gathering. After alteration for OT, WIT, and EBL, RNS was factually essentially connected with the danger of postoperative complexities in a multivariable strategic relapse model (chances proportion = 1.541, 95% certainty stretch: 1.059–2.242, P = 0.024). The RNS is a significant device for assessing the unpredictability of renal tumor life systems. It can help specialists in preoperative dynamic concerning the board treatment. Future multicenter, huge example size examinations are justified for assessing its anticipating execution of perioperative results.

Keywords: Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy, R.E.N.A.L. Nephrometry Score, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

1. Introduction

The utilization of halfway nephrectomy keeps on expanding. In 2005, around 27% of patients with tumors under 4 cm experienced incomplete nephrectomy, with the lion's share experiencing radical nephrectomy. These days, halfway nephrectomy (PN) is the current best quality level treatment for little limited renal tumors [1].

The nephrometry score is an imaging-based (modernized tomography or attractive reverberation picture) scoring framework for evaluating the multifaceted nature of renal tumors by empowering measurement of anatomical qualities [2].

We led a forthcoming report on 33 patients with renal tumors who experienced open medical procedure in benha college, with the point of assessing the viability of RNS in foreseeing perioperative results.

2. Patients and methods

Clinical information were gotten, affirmed with composed educated assent from all patients was taken before activity. From February 2018 to February 2020, 33 patients associated with having RCC temporarily by imaging , were conceded and rewarded in the Department of Urology, benha college. Patients with respective or different tumors or metastasis were barred from this investigation.

Patients' information including age, sex, weight file (BMI), comorbidities, manifestations, laterality of renal tumors, preoperative creatinine, and hemoglobin levels were gathered and recorded. Intraoperative information included all out OT, warm ischemia time (WIT), and assessed blood misfortune (EBL). Postoperative

information included confusions, length of medical clinic remain, postoperative creatinine and hemoglobin levels, tumor pathology and edges, follow up period, and results.

2.1 Surgical technique

Following general anesthesia, patients were placed in lateral position

Trans costal incision done with dissection till kidney retroperitoneal. The renal vessels were dissected free, and the renal artery was then clamped with bulldog clamps to facilitate excision and suturing. Intraoperative decision either to do radical or nephron sparing surgery . Methylene blue injection was performed to determine if suturing was sufficiently tight, to minimize the occurrence of postoperative urine leakage. All patients were operated by the same team who were aware by the score system .

3. Results

As indicated by the RNS framework, among the 33 patients , tumor multifaceted nature was low in 18 (53.2%) patients, moderate in 10 (36.0%) patients, and high in 5 (10.8%) patients. The segment attributes of the patients are appeared in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 48.2 years and the mean BMI was 27.9 kg/m². twelve patients were asymptomatic, and manifestations experienced by different patients included hematuria (nine cases) and agony (thirteen cases). seventeen patients had renal tumors on the left side while sixteen patients had them on the right. Concerning, five (35.3%) patients had hypertension and five (15.1%) patients had diabetes mellitus. in general mean OT was 122 min (run: 103–135 min), the general mean

postoperative emergency clinic remain (PHS) was 5 days (extend: 2-6 days), and the general mean EBL was 400 ml (go: 50–100 ml). In any case, there were noteworthy contrasts in EBL ($P = 0.026$), WIT ($P = 0.001$), OT ($P = 0.032$), perioperative creatinine freedom change (PCCC) ($P = 0.045$), and the quantity of patients with entanglements (NPC) ($P = 0.002$) among the three gatherings. The estimations of EBL, WIT, OT, PCCC,

and NPC for patients in the high tumor intricacy bunch were more prominent than those for patients in the low unpredictability bunch [Table 1].

Concerning complexities, multivariable calculated relapse examination demonstrated that the RNS was factually altogether connected with the danger of event of postoperative inconveniences ($P = 0.024$).

Table (1) Differences between three groups.

| | Low score (25) | | Moderate score (9) | | High score (6) | | Statistical test (FET) | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------|-----------------------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------------|---------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % | | |
| Age | 44 | | 47 | | 55 | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 12 | 66.6 | 6 | 60 | 3 | 60.0 | 0.54 | 0.812 |
| Female | 6 | 33.3 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 40.0 | | |
| BMI | 27.1 | | 28.1 | | 29.3 | | | |
| Smoking | 10 | 55.5 | 6 | 66.7 | 3 | 33.3 | 1.61 | 0.48 |
| Pain | 6 | 33 | 4 | 40 | 2 | 40 | 1.45 | 0.54 |
| Haematuria | 2 | 11 | 4 | 40 | 3 | 60 | 10.08 | 0.004** |
| Accidentally | 10 | 55.5 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 00 | 6.83 | 0.022* |
| DM | 3 | 16.6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 3.08 | 0.19 |
| HTN | 2 | 11.1 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0.86 | 0.80 |
| US | | | | | | | | |
| L | 8 | 44 | 5 | 77.8 | 4 | 66.7 | 1.34 | 0.59 |
| R | 10 | 66 | 5 | 50 | 1 | 20 | | |
| Blood transfusion | 1 | 5 | 4 | 40 | 4 | 80 | 4.05 | 0.13 |
| Stenting | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 60 | 4.68 | 0.084 |
| Post-op follow up | | | | | | | | |
| Stent | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 20 | 3.22 | 0.38 |
| Infection | 3 | 16 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 40 | 1.6 | 0.51 |
| Leak | 0 | 00 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 20 | | |
| Clear RCC | 14 | 77 | 10 | 100 | 4 | 80 | 6.17 | 0.032* |
| Chromophope RCC | 4 | 23 | 0 | 00 | 1 | 20 | 6.17 | 0.032* |

4. Discussion

In spite of the more extended usable time and more blood misfortune in PN contrasted and radical nephrectomy, PN has become the standard treatment of little renal masses because of a superior utilitarian volume safeguarding. The identification of little renal masses has expanded in the ongoing years. (84)

We reflectively examined 33 patients with renal tumors rewarded byopen medical procedure. As indicated by the RNS, 18 patients had low complex tumors, 10 patients had moderate complex tumors, and 5 patients had high complex tumors. Factual investigations uncovered that there were critical contrasts in OT, EBL, and WIT between the three gatherings. The OT, EBL, and WIT expanded with expanding tumor unpredictability. The OT, EBL, and WIT in the high mind boggling bunch were altogether higher than those in the low intricate gathering. These information propose that renal tumors with high intricacy required expanded cross clip time and are related with more blood misfortune contrasted and those with low

unpredictability. There were 9 cases with perioperative inconveniences in our investigation. There was a noteworthy distinction in the dismalness of complexities between the three gatherings. The bleakness of entanglements in the high mind boggling bunch was higher than that in the low or moderate complex gathering. Multivariable relapse examination uncovered that RNS is a hazard factor that predicts the event of perioperative entanglements. Past examinations have gotten comparative outcomes and exhibited that the RNS is a helpful device in anticipating the danger of intricacies in patients experiencing PN and laparoscopic renal cry ablation [3-6]. Moreover, in patients who experienced robot helped PN, Schiavina et al. announced that RNS was essentially connected with anticipating drawn out WIT and high evaluation postoperative complications [7].

The mean follow up period in our examination was a half year, and we distinguished two instances of postoperative metastasis. Mouracade et al. indicated that high R.E.N.A.L. score expands the danger of malady

repeat after PN and R.E.N.A.L. score can anticipate neighborhood recurrence [8]. Nagahara et al. announced that the RNS was a free indicator of postoperative repeat in patients with nonsmall confined RCC rewarded by PN [9]. However, Mufarrij et al. indicated that nephrometry evaluated tumor intricacy was not identified with the careful results of patients who experienced RAPN, proposing that the nephrometry framework might be not reasonable for anticipating careful outcomes [10]. while Wang et al. altered the quantization boundaries of the RNS framework and showed that the changed RNS framework has a decent impact in assessing the activity trouble of retroperitoneal PN [11].

The constraint of our investigation lies in the generally little example size, our outcomes depended on the experience of a solitary specialist also, the mean follow up period was a half year. This generally short follow up period may not actually mirror the postoperative states of the patients

All in all, we explored the viability of clinical utilization of the RNS framework for the forecast of peri employable results. The RNS is a normalized and attainable order framework for the assessment of renal tumors. RNS can be utilized to assess tumor intricacy and can help specialists in preoperative dynamic concerning the executives treatment.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] C. Xu, C. Lin, Z. Xu, Tumor Enucleation vs. Partial Nephrectomy for T1 Renal Cell Carcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis *Front Oncol*, Vol. 9, PP. 473,2019.
- [2] A. Kutikov, R.G. Uzzo, The R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score: a comprehensive Standardized system for quantitating renal tumor size, location and depth. *J Urol*, Vol.182, PP.844–53,2009.
- [3] Z.W. Liu, E.O. Olweny, G. Yin, Prediction of perioperative outcomes following minimally invasive partial nephrectomy: Role of the R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score. *World J Urol*, Vol.31, PP.1183-9,2013.
- [4] G.D. Schmit, R.H. Thompson, A.N. Kurup, Usefulness of R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry scoring system for predicting outcomes and complications of percutaneous ablation of 751 renal tumors. *J Urol*, Vol.189, PP.30-5,2013.
- [5] X. Chang, C. Ji, X. Zhao, The application of R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry scoring system in predicting the complications after laparoscopic renal radiofrequency ablation. *J Endourol*, Vol. 28, PP.424-9, 2014.
- [6] J.C. Camacho, N. Kokabi, M. Xing, R.E.N.A.L. (Radius, exophytic/endophytic, nearness to collecting system or sinus, anterior/posterior, and location relative to polar lines) nephrometry score predicts early tumor recurrence and complications after percutaneous ablative therapies for renal cell carcinoma: A 5-year experience. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*, Vol.26, PP.686-93,2015.
- [7] R. Schiavina, G. Novara, M. Borghesi, PADUA and R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry scores correlate with perioperative outcomes of robot-assisted partial nephrectomy: Analysis of the Vattikuti Global Quality Initiative in Robotic Urologic Surgery (GQI-RUS) database. *BJU Int*, Vol.119, PP.456-63, 2017.
- [8] P. Mouracade, O. Kara, M.J. Maurice, Patterns and predictors of recurrence after partial nephrectomy for kidney tumors *J Urol*, Vol.197, PP.1403-9,2017.
- [9] A. Nagahara, M. Uemura, A. Kawashima, R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score predicts postoperative recurrence of localized renal cell carcinoma treated by radical nephrectomy. *Int J Clin Oncol*, Vol.21, PP.367-72,2016.
- [10] P.W. Mufarrij, L.S. Krane, S. Rajamahanty, Does nephrometry scoring of renal tumors predict outcomes in patients selected for robot-assisted partial nephrectomy? *J Endourol*, Vol.25, PP.1649-53,2011.
- [11] Q. Wang, B. Qian, Q. Li, Application of modified R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score system in evaluating the retroperitoneal partial nephrectomy for T1 renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Med*, Vol.8, PP.6482-8,2015.